




PCT/IB04/00546



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE




The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.



Signed

Dated 2 March 2004

PRIORITY DOCUMENT
SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH
RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Patents Form 1/77

Patents Act 1977
(Rule 16)

The
Patent
Office

26MAR03 E795032-2 D00068
P01/7700 0.00-0306830.1

Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)



The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP9 1RH

1. Your reference	302921GB/KCS/erw		
2. Patent application number (The Patent Office will fill in this part)	0306830.1		25 MAR 2003
3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)	NOKIA CORPORATION KEILALAHDENTIE 4 FIN-02150 ESPOO FINLAND 7652212001		
Patents ADP number (if you know it)			
If the applicant is a corporate body; give the country/state of its incorporation	FINLAND		
4. Title of the invention	Routing Messages		
5. Name of your agent (if you have one)	Page White & Farrer 54 Doughty Street London WD1N 2LS United Kingdom 1255003		
"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)			
Patents ADP number (if you know it)			
6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number	Country	Priority application number (if you know it)	Date of filing (day / month / year)
	US		19 February, 2003
7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application	Number of earlier application	Date of filing (day / month / year)	
8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:	Yes		
a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or			
b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or			
c) any named applicant is a corporate body.			
See note (d))			

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 45

Claim(s) 6 TDC

Abstract 1

Drawing(s) 13-
14-16

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77) ☒

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents (please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature 
PAGE WHITE & FARRER

Date
25 March 2003

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

Kelda Camilla Karen Style
020 7831 7929

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

ROUTING MESSAGES

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

- 5 The present invention relates to the routing of messages, and in particular but not exclusively in an IMS system.

BACKGROUND TO THE INVENTION

- 10 The introduction of Third Generation (3G) communication systems will significantly increase the possibilities for accessing services on the Internet via mobile user equipment (UE) as well as other types of UE.

- 15 Various user equipment (UE) such as computers (fixed or portable), mobile telephones, personal data assistants or organisers and so on are known to the skilled person and can be used to access the Internet to obtain services. Mobile user equipment referred to as a mobile station (MS) can be defined as a means that is capable of
20 communication via a wireless interface with another device such as a base station of a mobile telecommunication network or any other station. Such a mobile user equipment can be adapted for voice, text message, data communication or multimedia communication via the wireless interface.

25

- The term "service" used above and hereinafter will be understood to broadly cover any service or goods which a user may desire, require or be provided with. The term also will be understood to cover the provision of complimentary services. In particular, but
30 not exclusively, the term "service" will be understood to include Internet multimedia services, conferencing, telephony, gaming, rich call, presence, e-commerce and messaging e.g. instant messaging.

The 3G Partnership Project (3GPP) is defining a reference architecture for the Universal Mobile Telecommunication System (UMTS) core network which will provide the users of UE with access to these services. This UMTS core network is divided into three principal domains. These are the Circuit Switched domain, the Packet Switched domain and the Internet Protocol Multimedia (IM) domain..

The latter of these, the IM domain, makes sure that multimedia services are adequately managed. The IM domain supports the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) as developed by the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF).

SIP is an application layer signalling protocol for starting, changing and ending user sessions as well as for sending and receiving transactions. A session may, for example, be a two-way telephone call or multi-way conference session or connection between a user and an application server (AS). The establishment of these sessions enables a user to be provided with the above-mentioned services. One of the basic features of SIP is that the protocol enables personal mobility of a user using mobile UE by providing the capability to reach a called party (which can be an application server AS) via a single location independent address.

In this document the following abbreviations will be used:

AS Application Server

BGCF Breakout Gateway Control Function

CN Core Network

CPS Connection Processing Server

CS Circuit Switched

CSCF Call Session Control Function or Call State Control Function

DNS Domain Name System

ENUM See "E.164 number and DNS" (RFC2916)

FQDN Fully Qualified Domain Name

GW/S/AS network function or entity e.g. a proxy and/or Gateway and/or Server and/or Application Server or the like

HSS Home Subscriber Server

I-CSCF Interrogating CSCF

5 ID Identity

IM IP Multimedia

IMS IP Multimedia core network Subsystem

IMS-WV-GW Gateway between IMS and WV networks

IP Internet Protocol

10 ISC IP multimedia Service Control

MGCF Media Gateway Control Function

NAPTR Naming Authority Pointer (RFC 2915)

O-CSCF Outbound CSCF

P-CSCF Proxy CSCF

15 PMG Presence (P), Messaging (M) and Group Management (G)

PLS Presence List Server

~~PS Presence Server~~

PMG-WV-GW Gateway between IMS and WV networks

RR Resource Record of DNS

20 S-CSCF Serving CSCF

SIP Session Initiation Protocol (RFC 3261)

SIP URI SIP Uniform Resource Identifier (RFC 3261)

SLF Subscription Locator Function

SSR Service and Subscription Repository

25 TEL URL Is an URL associated to a terminal that can be contacted using the telephone network (RFC 2806)

UE User Equipment

UMS User Mobility Server

UMTS Universal Mobile Telecommunications System

30 URI Uniform Resource Identifier

URL Uniform Resource Locator

WV Wireless Village

Terminating sessions/transactions are routed in an IMS from the I-
5 CSCF to an S-CSCF that can route them to an AS following the rules of a filter criteria. If the target identity (i.e. public user

identity) is not registered, the I-CSCF selects an S-CSCF, and the S-CSCF downloads filter criteria from the HSS. However there is a problem where the target identity is not an IMS identity.- non-IMS identities are routed over the IMS network to a non-IMS network.

5

An AS originated session/transaction is routed in IMS from AS to an S-CSCF that can route them further. Normally this S-CSCF is the one that was used when the session/transaction was routed from S-CSCF to AS, or address of the S-CSCF that is returned from the HSS or other database as response to a query, or address of the (default) S-CSCF may be configured in AS or fetched from an internal or external database, table, list, configuration data storage or alike. There are cases where it is difficult or impossible to find an S-CSCF.

;

Here are some examples where it can be difficult to find a S-CSCF:

- a) If the subscriber is not registered, possibly no S-CSCF is assigned to the subscriber (or more accurately to any public user identity of the subscriber).
- b) If the sending network element is a service server that routes a session/transaction on behalf of the user, there is a similar situation i.e. there may be no S-CSCF assigned to the user. (This kind of service server is referred to as user dependent service server).
- c) If a third party user uses a group identity as target address e.g. a message is sent to a group by a user that is not the "owner" of the group identity, there is a problem in deciding which S-CSCF should be used when the group server sends a message to each member of the group.
- d) If the sender is a service that has no connection to any user (i.e. the sender is a user independent service server). At least in this case the AS has to choose an S-CSCF or use a default S-CSCF. Both solutions have drawbacks. In the first one the AS has to perform functionalities of I-CSCF i.e. choose an S-CSCF. In the

second one (i.e. if the default is used), the problem is how the load is balanced (Round robin functionality in DNS may be used.)

5 An additional argument against routing through an S-CSCF is that no service of S-CSCF is needed e.g. no filter criteria is utilized. This is especially true in the user independent service server case.

10 Routing with service identities is another problem of IMS. In order to route to an AS, server, gateway, network function, network entity or alike that hosts or offers the service, an entry is needed in SLF and HSS containing routing information (e.g. filter criteria) for routing to S-CSCF and from S-CSCF to the correct AS, server, gateway, network function, network entity or
15 the like that hosts or offers the service. The result is that HSS has to contain all service identities with proper routing information. ~~There is a similar problem with group identities~~ created by users. A user may for example create a group of work colleagues, a group of family and a group of friends. These
20 identities with proper routing information have to be included in HSS. Service identities may be quite stable but the group identities may be changed relatively often. A group identity may be a list of users that can be used e.g. to send a message to all of them with a single message sending procedure (instead of
25 repeating the procedure in order to send the same message to every one of them). The problem of using a service and group identity is the creation/modification/deletion of a more or less temporary entry in HSS in order to make the routing possible via an S-CSCF to a proper AS, server, gateway, network function, network entity
30 or alike.

It has also been found that when a Presence List Server (PLS) subscribes to the presence information of presentities, the routing done according to the current 3GPP IMS standard is not

optimal. In addition, when the PLS (AS) initiates a request by itself, it is not defined how the PLS (AS) selects an S-CSCF.

There exists a problem that if a group server is seen as an application server, an ISC interface should be used. This has the disadvantage that routing is complicated in that an S-CSCF is needed in both the terminating and originating cases.

Another problem is that in known arrangements, the application server has to store all used service identities into an SLF, an HSS and/or another subscriber database.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an aim of embodiments of the present invention to address one or more of the problems described.

According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of routing for a message via an IMS system comprising the steps of receiving a message at an I-CSCF, obtaining address information for a network function for which said message is intended and sending said message to said network function accordance with said address information.

The network function may be provided by a network entity. The network function may be an application server, server, gateway or any other suitable entity.

Preferably, said message is sent directly or via a proxy or gateway element to the network function via a gateway element.

Preferably, said obtaining step comprises querying a database.

Preferably, said database comprises a SLF.

Preferably, said database provides said address information for said network function.

Preferably, said database provides information identifying a
5 further database.

Preferably, said further database may comprise one of a HSS, UMS or SSR.

10 Preferably, said further database contains said address information.

Preferably, said further database contains configuration information of said network function.

15

Preferably, the method comprises the step of determining if said
~~message is for an IMS target or a non-IMS target.~~

20 Preferably, said steps are followed only if it is determined that said message is not routed to any S-CSCF because the said message is for a non IMS target, or for an IMS target that identifies a service or a group or the like.

25 According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of routing a message from a network function via an IMS system comprising the steps of:

originating a message from a network function ;

determining the address of a proxy entity to which said message is to be sent;

30 routing said message to said proxy entity; and

routing said message from said proxy entity to an entry point of a target network.

5 Preferably, said entry point is in the same or different network as said network function.

Preferably, wherein said originating step comprises originating one of a session and a transaction.

- 5 Preferably, said determining step comprises the step of querying one of a database, table, file and a list.

Preferably, said determining step comprises determining the proxy entity from information contained in said network function.

)

Preferably the method comprises the step of determining the entry point to which said message is to be routed.

- Preferably, said proxy entity is arranged to determine the entry
5 point to which said message is to be sent.

Preferably, said proxy entity is arranged to determine the I-CSCF to which said message is to be sent by accessing a database.

-) Preferably, said database comprises a DNS.

- According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of routing a message from a network function via an IMS system comprising the steps of originating a message from a
5 network function determining the I-CSCF to which said message is to be sent, routing said message directly to said I-CSCF if said I-CSCF is in a same network as said network function.

According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of routing a message from a network function via an IMS system comprising the steps of originating a message from a network function, determining the I-CSCF to which said message is to be sent, routing said message directly to said I-CSCF if said I-CSCF is in a trusted network.

According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of routing a message from a network function via an IMS system, said method comprising the steps of sending a request from the network function to an I-CSCF, determining at the I-CSCF the S-CSCF to which a message from said network function is to be sent and sending said message to the determined S-CSCF.

Preferably, said network function comprises a PLS.

10 Preferably, said determining step comprises querying a database.

Preferably, said determining step comprises querying a HSS.

According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of routing a message from a first network function via an IMS system, said method comprising the steps of sending a request ~~from the first network function to an I-CSCF,~~ determining at the I-CSCF a second network function to which a message from said first network function is to be sent and sending said message directly from the I-CSCF to said second network function.

According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of routing a message comprising the steps of receiving a message in accordance with a first protocol, converting said message to a second protocol, querying a database using identification information in said message to obtain new identification information and using said new identification information to route the message to a proxy.

30 Preferably, said proxy is arranged to route said message.

Preferably, said proxy is arranged to obtain a translation of said identity.

15 Preferably, said proxy routes the message to another network.

Preferably, the proxy routes the message to an I-CSCF.

Preferably, an I-CSCF is arranged to query said database.

Preferably, said I-CSCF is arranged to route said message to said proxy.

Preferably, an entity receiving said message is arranged to route said message to said proxy.

Preferably, wherein said second protocol is SIP.

Preferably, said proxy is arranged to route said message to a gateway.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF FIGURES

For a better understanding of the present invention and as to how the same may be carried into effect, reference will be made by way of example only to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows a known method of normal terminating routing in an IMS system;

Figure 2a shows a method embodying the present invention of routing in an IMS system;

Figure 2b shows schematically routing with non-IMS schemes;

Figure 2c shows schematically routing from WV user equipment;

Figure 2d shows routing from WV domain to either WV or IMS domain;

Figure 3a shows a known method of routing in an IMS system, where the session or transaction originates with the AS;

5 Figure 3b shows a method embodying the present invention in an IMS system, where the session or transaction originates with the AS;

Figure 4 shows a signal flow of a further embodiment of the invention;

10 Figure 5a shows a first arrangement where routing is done with an O-CSCF;

Figure 5b shows a second arrangement where routing is done with an O-CSCF;

15

Figure 5c shows a third arrangement where routing is done with an O-CSCF;

20 Figure 5d shows a fourth arrangement where routing is done with an O-CSCF;

25 Figure 6a shows a known arrangement for routing where a group server is an application server and there is a subscriber initiated group session;

Figure 6b shows a known arrangement where a group server is an application server and there is a group server initiated group session;

30 Figure 6c shows a embodiment of the present invention where the group server is not an application server and there is a subscriber initiated group session;

Figure 6d shows an example embodying the present invention where the group server is not an application server and the group server initiates a group session;

Figure 7a shows an arrangement where a server offers subscriber independent services, in the originating case; and

Figure 7b illustrates where the server offers subscriber independent services in the terminating case.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION

Embodiments of the present invention will be described in relation to a UMTS system in accordance with the so-called third generation standards. Reference is made to the following third generation partnership project standards which are hereby incorporated by reference. These documents describe the IP multimedia system to which embodiments of the present invention are particularly applicable. However the embodiments of the present invention are also applicable to any other type of SIP network, regardless of whether or not it is an IMS network as well as to non SIP networks which may or may not be IMS networks.

3GPP TS 23.002: "Network architecture".

3GPP TS 23.228: "IP multimedia subsystem; Stage 2".

3GPP TS 24.229: "IP Multimedia Call Control Protocol based on SIP and SDP; Stage3"

3GPP 23.841 Presence Service; Architecture and Functional Description

3GPP 24.841 Presence based on SIP; Functional models, flows and protocol details

Embodiments of the present invention may use SIP. In order to provide access to the Internet and other IM services to users, protocols have been developed to assist in providing telephony and

multimedia services across the Internet. The session initiation protocol (SIP) is one such protocol which has been developed for controlling the creation, modification and termination of sessions with one or more parties. The call sessions may include e.g. Internet or other IP network telephone calls, conferences or other multimedia services and activities. The transactions may include e.g. Internet or other IP network messaging, presence, group, and other multimedia services and activities.

10 SIP addressing follows the popular Internet convention of identifying a user by a unique address using Uniform Resource Locators (URL's) or as defined in RFC3261 it is SIP URI. SIP signalling between two users consists of a series of requests and responses. A SIP transaction has dual parties, the user agent client (UAC) who sends a request and a user agent server (UAS) who responds in reply to the request. The client and server comprise the SIP user agent. In addition to this, SIP includes the SIP network server which is the network device/s which handle signalling associated with multiple calls.

20 As is known in the art an SIP invitation typically includes two messages. It will be understood that there may be more messages than only these and that, in fact, in 3GPP there are more messages used. These are not discussed herein for the sake of brevity.

25 The two messages are an INVITE, initiated by the caller UAC and a 200 OK message from the callee. This latter message is typically acknowledged by the caller after which stage the parties may communicate according to parameters sent and received during signalling. Both the caller and callee can end a session by executing a BYE message. During an established session a new set of parameters may be selected by either participant producing a further INVITE message or by using some other SIP message.

35 In the following, references are made to application servers. In alternative embodiments, the application server may instead be a

network function or entity e.g. a proxy or a gateway or a server or the like.

Embodiments of the invention aim to avoid finding a S-CSCF for sessions/transactions that do not need any actions or services offered by the S-CSCFs but only routing to/from a GW/S/AS i.e. a network function or entity e.g. a proxy and/or gateway and/or server and/or application server or the like. To do this routing is done directly from I-CSCF to a proper GW/S/AS with the help of SLF and/or HSS that returns address of the proper GW/S/AS. Embodiments of the invention can be applied at least to the following cases:

- a) routing of non-IMS schemes via IMS network to a non-IMS network (e.g. WV scheme)
- b) routing of generic schemes to a correct network: to IMS or to non-IMS (e.g. PRES- presence and IM instant message schemes)
- c) routing of service and group identities to a proper GW/S/AS

Generic schemes are protocol independent schemes specifying only the service but not the protocol. For example "IM" specifies the service to be "Instant Messaging" but not the used protocol that would e.g. be SIP in case of IMS. Respectively "pres" specifies the "Presence" service.

If non-IMS identities are not inserted into the SLF and/or HSS, it is possible to use for example pseudo entries in the SLF and/or HSS to handle the normal routing via the IMS network to an AS (i.e. from I-CSCF to S-CSCF (filter criteria) to AS). The filter criteria is needed in the S-CSCF to choose the correct AS to which to route the non-IMS identity. An example of routing non-IMS identities via an IMS to non-IMS network are Wireless Village (WV) identities that are routed from I-CSCF to an S-CSCF and further to an AS or server that acts as gateway e.g. IMS-WV-GW (or IMS Gateway) to WV network. These are discussed in more detail hereinafter.

Reference will now be made to Figure 1 which shows how routing is currently carried out in known IMS systems with the signal flow indicated diagrammatically. At least some of the messages may be in accordance with the SIP (session initiated protocol). These messages are shown in capitals.

An I-CSCF 100 receives a message in step S1 such as an initial INVITE or MESSAGE.

The I-CSCF 100 then sends a query to the SLF 102 in step S2 and the SLF returns the address of the correct HSS 104. If there is only one HSS, SLF is not needed, and step S2 can be omitted.

In step S3, the I-CSCF 100 then sends a query to the identified HSS 104. ~~The HSS 104 replies with the address of the correct S-CSCF 108 or the capabilities of a needed S-CSCF.~~ If needed, the I-CSCF selects an S-CSCF.

In step S4, the I-CSCF 100 routes the message to the S-CSCF 108. The S-CSCF down loads routing information, (e.g. filter criteria for routing to application servers) if not yet downloaded.

In step S5, the S-CSCF 108 routes the message to the correct application server 106 using the downloaded routing information.

Reference will now be made to Figure 2a, which shows the routing used in a first embodiment of the invention. In particular, the routing of non-IMS schemes and service/group identities and dynamic identities is shown. It should be appreciated that in Figure 2a, the routing of terminating sessions/transaction from the I-CSCF to the GW/S/AS are shown. The same reference numbers will be used for the same entities as shown in Figure 1.

In step T1, the I-CSCF 100 receives a message such as initial INVITE or MESSAGE.

In step T2, the I-CSCF 102 makes a query to the SLF/HSS 102. The SLF/HSS returns the address of the correct GS/S/AS 106. Optionally, the SLF/HSS 102 may return the address of the database or server such as a HSS, UMS user mobility server or SSR subscriber service router or repository or database containing dynamic public user identities or database containing dynamic service identities or other database. SLF/HSS denotes SLF, or HSS in the case there is no SLF.

In step T3, the I-CSCF 102 will optionally make a query to the database 110 identified in step T2. It should be noted that the SLF may have returned the actual address of the database or its configuration information or the like. The database will return the address of the correct GW/S/AS 106.

In step T4, the I-CSCF 100 routes the message to the correct GW/S/AS using the address returned by the SLF/HSS, if provided, or if not from the database 110.

Non-IMS schemes are other schemes than those associated with the user, group or service identities of IMS i.e. currently "sip" and "tel", which are also the originally used schemes in IMS. "Non-IMS scheme" is used in embodiments of the invention to refer to schemes which are not currently IMS schemes. As the standard evolve, it is of course possible that a current so-called non-IMS scheme may become an IMS scheme. If a non-IMS scheme becomes an IMS scheme, embodiments of the invention may still apply.

In embodiments of the invention, the following may be done:

1. Routing to the target IMS network with non-IMS scheme is done normally only if the target operator allows it to be done.

2. Routing via the target IMS network to WV network is done by routing directly from I-CSCF to PMG-WV-GW or any other IMS gateway because non-IMS subscriber has

- normally no entry in HSS
- no filter criteria
- nothing to do with IMS

IMS is thus only a routing path to WV network

3. No fallback if faulty scheme

Normally error is returned to UE

IMS does not normally correct the faulty scheme

4. wv:+3584022334455@domain,

im:+3584022334455@domain,

pres:+3584022334455@domain,

~~sip:+3584022334455@domain~~

are valid WV routable identities.

wv:+3584022334455 is valid WV identity in the domain in question

When non-IMS scheme is present, it is normally checked whether the target operator will receive the message via SIP. To do this, e.g. a DNS query is made with target domain name. It is asked for SRV records e.g. with _im._sip.operator.net. The answer might be e.g. _im._sip.operator.net SRV 0 0 5060 i-cscf.operator.net.

This answer indicates that "im" scheme is accepted with SIP protocol in the port 5060 of the address "i-cscf.operator.net".

The target operator may or may not allow messages using a non-IMS scheme. If the target operator allows the non-IMS scheme, a routable address is found with DNS query and the message will be routed to that address. The scheme is not normally modified.

If the target operator will not receive the non-IMS scheme, that is no routable address is found with DNS query, the message will be routed to the appropriate GW/S/AS e.g. PMG-WV-GW or IMS gateway. The filter criteria are not used to find the correct GW/S/AS and the address of GW/S/AS is configured in S-CSCF or is fetched from a table, list or database or the like. This can be done using for example a routing table as follows:

schema	target
wv	pmg-wv-gw.home.net
pres	pmg-wv-gw.home.net
im	pmg-wv-gw.home.net

When a non-IMS scheme is present, it is checked whether the message should be routed to a S-CSCF e.g. because the target identity is an IMS identity. The I-CSCF makes a SLF and/or HSS query. The scheme may or may not be carried over Cx and Dx interfaces (standardized). There may be different ranges for different schemes or the schemes may be marked somehow inside the subscriber data.

If the message should be routed to a S-CSCF for example the identity is found to be an IMS identity in the SLF/HSS, the schema is handled according to the general principles of IMS and routing is as normal terminating case in IMS.

If the message should not be routed to a S-CSCF for example the identity is not found to be an IMS identity in SLF/HSS, the I-CSCF finds the correct GW/S/AS where the message is routed. The GW/S/AS address is returned from SLF/HSS or the address of GW/S/AS is configured in I-CSCF. No S-CSCF is involved. There may be a new interface between I-CSCF and GW/S/AS e.g. PMG-WV-GW or other IMS gateway.

Routing to WV is possible via target IMS domain.

Reference is made to Figures 2b to 2d which illustrate the above-described scenario. Referring first to Figure 2b:

This is where there is an IMS ID. From the user equipment 500 a message is sent in step D1 to the P-CSCF 502 which in turn sends a message in step D2 to the S-CSCF 506. Next, the S-CSCF makes a query with the DNS 504 in step D3. In response to that query, the S-CSCF sends a message in step D6 to the I-CSCF 514. The I-CSCF 514 sends message in step D7 to the SLF 508 and receives a reply. In the next step, the I-CSCF sends a message to the HSS and receives a reply in step D8. In step D9, the I-CSCF 514 sends a message to S-CSCF 506. The steps D7 to D9 are as described earlier as steps S2 to S4 in relation to Figure 1.

Where there is a non-IMS ID, the following steps occur: in particular, steps D1, D2, D3, D6, D7 and optionally D8 are as described when there is an IMS identity. The next step however is step D11 where the I-CSCF 514 contacts the second PMG-WV-GW or IMS gateway 520. The second IMS gateway 520 sends a message to a second WV server 526 in step D12. In step D14, the WV server 526 sends a message to WV user equipment 528.

Where routing is not possible via the target IMS, the route taken is the same as steps D1 to D3 already described. However, the next step is then D4 where the S-CSCF 506 sends a message to the first IMS gateway 522. The next step may either be step D5 or D5b. In step D5, a message is sent to a first WV server 524 which contacts the second WV server 526 in step D13. A message is sent in step D5b directly from the IMS gateway 522 to the second WV server 526. In both cases the next step will be step D14 where the second WV server sends a message to the WV user equipment 528.

It should be appreciated that the gateway entities 522, 520 and PMG 518 can all be regarded as proxies or servers, and for example application servers.

Reference is made to figure 2C which shows where the routing from the WV server is configurable (based on the scheme and/or domain). Normally, routing via the WV is the first choice and routing to the IMS is the second choice. However, this may be reversed in some embodiments of the present invention.

Routing to the WV may be via the target IMS domain. This is a result of the DNS query at the outbound proxy O-CSCF. Routing after the outbound proxy is the same as described in relation to figure 2b. Those elements, which are the same in figure 2b, are marked with the same reference numbers.

Where there is a routable URI, that is routing is possible via the target IMS, there are two options. The first one is where there is an IMS ID. In this case, routing from the first WV user equipment 528a is then to the first WV server 524 in step E1. The first WV server 524 sends a message in step E2 to the first IMS gateway 522 which in turns sends a message in step E3 to the O-CSCF 530. The O-CSCF 530 sends a message in step E4 to the DNS 504. In response to the information received from DNS 504, the O-CSCF 530 sends a message in step E6 to the I-CSCF 516. The I-CSCF 516 obtains information from the SLF 508 in step E7 and information from the HSS 510 in step E8. E8 may also be an alternative to step E7 if there is no SLF. Next, the I-CSCF contacts in step E9 the S-CSCF 516 identified by steps E7 and/or E8.

Where there is a non IMS ID, steps E1, E2, E3, E4, E6 and E7 are performed as described in relation to the IMS ID. Step E8 is optional and/or an alternative to step E7 if there is no SLF. The next step is then step E11 where the I-CSCF 516 contacts second IMS gateway 520. In step E12, the second IMS gateway 520 contacts the second WV server 526. In step E14, the second WV server 526 contacts the second WV user equipment 528 b.

Where routing is not possible via the target IMS, the steps taken would be steps E1, E2, E3 and E4. At that point, an error would be returned to WV server 524 that may for example try to route to the target WV server 526.

5

Again, the first and second IMS gateways 522 and 520 as well as PMG server 518 may be proxies or servers, for example application servers.

10 Reference is made to Figure 2d which illustrates routing to WV/IMS via the WV domain. Again, the same reference numerals are used for the same entities. At the target WV server 526, it is checked whether the user is a WV user. Where the user is a non WV user the following steps occur. Firstly, in step F1, a message is sent
15 from the source WV user equipment 528b to the first WV server 524. The first WV server 524 sends a message in step F13 to the second WV server 526. ~~The second WV server 526 sends a message in step~~
F12 to the second IMS gateway 520 which in turn sends a message to the I-CSCF 514 in step F11. The I-CSCF obtains information from
20 the SLF 508 in step F7 and information from HSS 510 in step F8 as previously described.

Next, in step F9, the I-CSCF 514 sends a message in step F9 to the S-CSCF 516. The S-CSCF 516 sends a message in step F10 to the
25 user equipment 512 or the PMG server 518.

Where a WV user 528a is the target user, a much simpler routing occurs. The WV user equipment, which is the source, 528b, sends a message in step F1 to the first WV server 524 which then sends a
30 message in step F13 to the second WV server 526. The second WV server 526 in step F14 sends a message to the WV user equipment which is the target, that is WV UE 528a.

Loop detection is needed in the I-CSCF or in the IMS gateway or WV
35 server routes to IMS gateway only messages with target identities

of IMS or the IMS gateway changes the scheme to SIP to prevent further routing back to the WV network.

An example will now be given of information stored in the SLF in one embodiment of the invention:

An entry in SLF may contain e.g. the following information:

a) Usual IMS entry to refer to the proper HSS:

john.smith@operator.net reference to HSS_3

b) John Smith has also WV subscription. WV traffic is routed to WV network through the gateway IMS-WV-GW:

wv:john.smith@operator.net reference to IMS-WV-GW

c) John Smith wants to receive Instant messages in WV network:

im:john.smith@operator.net reference to IMS-WV-GW

d) John Smith wants to offer his Presence information from IMS network:

pres:john.smith@operator.net reference to HSS_3

e) John Smith has created a group (consisting of his fishing friends) to be used e.g. with message services. For example anyone can send an Instant message to the whole group by sending it to the group identity:

fishingfriends.john.smith@operator.net reference to group_server

The entry to offer movie services also to customers from other networks might contain the following information:

movies@operator.net reference to movie_server

These are only examples of information. References to a HSS and to a gateway or server must differ in order that the I-CSCF is able

to act accordingly: to make HSS query to the indicated HSS or to route the message to the indicated gateway or server respectively.

Embodiments of the invention avoid allocating an S-CSCF to route
5 non-IMS identities over the IMS to non-IMS networks.

Advantages of the embodiments of the invention are:

- a) No big influence on HSS because no filter criteria is needed.
- b) No need to allocate an S-CSCF i.e. saves resources.
- 10 c) No influence on S-CSCF i.e. no need to have special scenarios for handling non-IMS identities.
- d) SLF can contain all non-IMS identities or as an option a pseudo entry only for one or more groups of the non-IMS identities.
- e) Operator can offer service to its IMS customers to prioritize
15 IMS or non-IMS services e.g. presence service is offered from the WV network (when the IMS subscriber also has WV subscription).
- f) Group names and service names can be routed directly to the
correct GW/S/AS. They need no entry in HSS.
- g) Routing to several GW/S/ASs is difficult. To solve the problems
20 discussed above, routing to one GW/S/AS is enough. Of course the SLF may return several addresses if needed. These could be tried one after another until a GW/S/AS is found that accepts the message. These addresses could also be used as a route through several GW/S/ASs.

25

Scheme can be "visible" in SLF and/or in HSS i.e. it is part of the key that is used to identify entries in SLF and/or in HSS or every public user identity entry in SLF and/or in HSS indicates what are the valid schemes with that public user identity.

30

A second embodiment of the present invention will now be described with reference to Figures 3a and 3b.

In order to avoid finding a S-CSCF for a session/transaction, where no S-CSCF is allocated or it is difficult to find out the address of the allocated S-CSCF, the session/transaction is routed from the GW/S/AS to an O-CSCF i.e. outbound proxy. From O-CSCF i.e. outbound proxy the session/transaction is routed further to I-CSCF of the target network.

The O-CSCF i.e. the outbound proxy may be bypassed when the target network is the same network i.e. the target I-CSCF is located in the same network or in a trusted network. In this case session/transaction is routed directly from GW/S/AS to the I-CSCF.

This embodiment of invention can be applied at least to the following cases:

- a) routing from GW/S/AS (e.g. from IMS WV gateway) non-IMS traffic (e.g. with WV scheme) via IMS network to another IMS network
- b) routing from GW/S/AS sessions/transactions where the originator (e.g. service group server) is loosely or not at all connected to any subscriber; (in this case GW/S/AS is referred as user independent service server)

GW/S/AS may start the session/transaction or GW/S/AS may be a gateway passing traffic to IMS network. Both cases are referred here as GW/S/AS originated sessions/transactions.

In embodiments of the invention, the address or the name of the GW/S/AS, O-CSCF (i.e. outbound proxy) and I-CSCF may be configured in GW/S/AS. Addresses or names may also be fetched from a database (e.g. DNS), table, file, server or the like. The addresses and names may be resolved e.g. with database (e.g. DNS), table, file, server or the like.

In general in all embodiments the addresses and/or names of the functions, gateways, servers, elements and the other entities of a

network may be resolved e.g. with database (e.g. DNS), table, file, server or the like.

The O-CSCF is a logical functionality that may be implemented with
 5 I-CSCF in the same network element. Alternatively; the functionality of the I-CSCF may be changed so that it includes the functionality of the O-CSCF.

This embodiment is concerned with avoiding finding and/or
 10 allocating an S-CSCF to route GW/S/AS originated sessions/transactions.

Reference will now be made to Figure 3a which shows known routing in an IMS system.

15

In step A1, the GW/S/AS 204 originates a session or transaction.

~~The session or transaction is routed to a S-CSCF 202. The address~~

of the S-CSCF may be known from the previous session or transaction or it may be queried from the HSS or it may be
 20 configured in the GW/S/AS. Possibly the filter criteria are evaluated and the session or transaction may be routed to an AS according to the filter criteria.

The next step is either step A2a or A2b. In step A2b, the session
 25 or transaction is routed to an I-CSCF 200 in the same network as the S-CSCF. In step A2a, the session or transaction is routed to an I-CSCF 206 in a different network to the S-CSCF 202.

Reference is now made to Figure 3b, which illustrates a second
 30 embodiment of the invention. In particular, the routing of non-IMS identities and routing of cases with IMS service/group identity as an originator is shown. It should be appreciated that in Figure 3b, the routing of originating sessions/transaction from the GW/S/AS to the O-CSCF (i.e. outbound proxy) are shown.

35

O-CSCF is an outbound proxy that may be like S-CSCF without subscriber database, because the O-CSCF normally does not need to perform any activities associated to IMS subscribers. O-CSCF may have all other features of the S-CSCF.

In step B1a, the AS 204 originates a session or transaction. The session or transaction is routed to O-CSCF 208. The address of the O-CSCF is queried from a database or the like or is fetched from a table, a file, a list or the like or is configured in the GW/S/AS.

Step B1b is an optional step and allows optimal routing from the GW/S/AS 204 directly to the I-CSCF 200 if the I-CSCF is located in the same network or in a trusted network.

The next step is either step B2a or B2b. In step B2b, the O-CSCF routes the session or transaction to a I-CSCF 200 in the same network whilst in step B2a, the O-CSCF 208 routes the session or transaction to an I-CSCF 206 in another network.

Advantages of this second embodiment of the invention are:

- a) No influence on HSS, because there is no need to insert service/group identities (and possibly also filter criteria) to SLF and/or HSS in order to be able to allocate an S-CSCF when a GW/S/AS originates a session/transaction on behalf of a service/group identity.
- b) No need to allocate an S-CSCF i.e. saves resources.
- c) No influence on S-CSCF. No scenarios needed to align Subscriber Profile Database (SPD) to handle these cases.
- d) Sessions/transactions on behalf of service/group identities can be routed directly from GW/S/AS to O-CSCF without passing any S-CSCF.
- e) The solution can be seen as a second (GW/S/AS to I-CSCF in the own network) and a third (GW/S/AS to O-CSCF) possibility to route GW/S/AS originated sessions/transactions. The first possibility is to route via S-CSCF if the S-CSCF can easily be used.

When the Presence List Server (PLS) terminates some request and it triggers a new request or some request is initiated by the PLS, in the current 3GPP IMS architecture the PLS needs to send the request to an S-CSCF. This can be done based on the Record-Route header of the received request (if there was one) or the PLS can have the S-CSCF configured. In a better solution, the PLS can directly send the message to an I-CSCF and leave out the S-CSCF as in case of PLS (group) there are no originating services.

10

Public service URIs (i.e. URIs that are identities of services or alike) and group URIs (i.e. URIs that are identities of groups or alike) can be routed according to the embodiments of this invention. In the first embodiment (i.e. in case where routing from I-CSCF to GW/S/AS) the SLF/HSS may return name or address of the GW/S/AS similarly as it does in cases where routing with an non-IMS identity according to the embodiment. In the second embodiment (i.e. GW/S/AS originated case) the messages with a service URI or a group URI as an originator are routed to O-CSCF similarly as messages with non-IMS identity as an originator according to the embodiment.

Reference will now be made to figure 4 to describe a further embodiment of the invention. Current 3GPP architecture requires unnecessary involving of the S-CSCF where the S-CSCF selection is problematic or not optimal.

The advantage of embodiments of the invention is that this solution works in all cases and is simpler than the one that follows the current 3GPP PMG architecture.

Figure 4 shows the messages in embodiments of the invention. This can be summarised as follows:

Watcher agent in a UE subscribes to a presence list (SUBSCRIBE #1 from UE-till PLS).

The request is answered (200 OK from PLS to UE).

PLS initiates a subscription to one of the presentities belonging to the list (SUBSCRIBE #2 PLS till PS).

This can be sent through the S-CSCF or as proposed in embodiment of this invention, it can be directly sent to the I-CSCF

The answer to the subscription is routed back from PS to PLS

Reference is made to Figure 4 which shows the signal flow in the third embodiment of the present invention. In step C1, a subscribe message is sent from the user equipment 400 to the P-CSCF 402. In step C2 the subscribe message is sent from the P-CSCF 402 to the S-CSCF 404. In step C3 the subscribed message is sent from the S-CSCF 404 to the PLS(AS) 406. The PLS(AS) sends in step C4 a 200 OK message (which is a SIP acknowledgement message) back to the S-CSCF 404. In step C5, the S-CSCF 404 sends the 200 OK message to the P-CSCF 402. The P-CSCF 402 sends the 200 OK message in step C6 to the user equipment 400. The flow shown in figure 4 now shows two options.

The optimal signal flow will now be described as followed. The next step is for a prescribed message to be sent in step C7 from the PLS (AS) 406 to the I-CSCF 408. In step C8, there is a HSS query where the I-CSCF 408 sends a query to the HSS 410 and receives a reply. The HSS will return the correct S-CSCF or the capabilities of a needed S-CSCF.

In the next step C9 a second subscribe message is sent from the I-CSCF 408 to the S-CSCF 412. The S-CSCF will send the subscribe message to the PS 414 in step C10. The presence server 414 sends an acknowledgement message such as a 200 OK message in step C11 to the S-CSCF 412. The S-CSCF 412 sends in step C12 a 200 OK message to the I-CSCF 408. Finally, the I-CSCF 408 sends a message in

step C13 to the PLS (AS) in step C13. This message is the 200 OK message.

In a less optimal solution, step C7 is replaced by steps C7a and C7b. In those steps, the PLS (AS) 406 sends the second subscribe message first to the S-CSCF 404 in step C7a. In step C7b, the S-CSCF 404 sends the subscribe message to the I-CSCF 408. Additionally, step C13 is replaced by steps C13a and step C13b in this less optimal solution. In this less optimal solution, the I-CSCF 408 sends the 200 OK message in step C13a to the S-CSCF 404. In step C13b, the S-CSCF 404 sends the 200 OK message to the PLS (AS). This second solution is less optimal in that it is not clear to which S-CSCF to send the messages if the PLS generates the request by itself.

15 The implementation is simple, as the PLS (defined in 3GPP to be an AS) need to send the PLS originated requests to the I-CSCF instead of the S-CSCF.

20 Reference is now made to Figures 5a to 5d which show arrangements in which the outbound proxy is utilised to get a more optimal routing in the case of number portability. The outbound proxy has the capability to act as a CSCF without subscriber profile database i.e. it does not handle subscribers and thus no filter criteria connected to any subscriber are down loaded from subscriber database e.g. HSS. The outbound proxy, which is here called outbound CSCF i.e. O-CSCF, is capable of making the ENUM translation. It can also route to similarly as a S-CSCF. The O-CSCF can be used to solve number portability routing problem with proposed solution where MGCF should route directly to another network; and I-CSCF routes directly to an I-CSCF in another network.

35 In embodiments of the invention, the MGCF routes the session/transaction (with the new identity returned from number

portability database e.g. SLF) to an O-CSCF. The O-CSCF checks the identity to see whether ENUM translation is needed. If it is, the O-CSCF performs the translation. In short the O-CSCF does all the same procedures as S-CSCF when it routes session/transaction to another network. The main difference between S-CSCF and O-CSCF is the usage. S-CSCF is used when there exists a user in the same network who can be linked to the session/transaction; while O-CSCF is used when such a user cannot be found. In the CS originated case the calling party is not a subscriber of the IMS network. Because the target number is ported to another network neither is the called party a subscriber of the network. Thus with using O-CSCF it is possible to avoid routing through S-CSCF, and also to avoid adding new functionalities to MGCF in order to make it capable of routing directly to another network.

One modification is to let the I-CSCF route the session/transaction directly to O-CSCF instead of MGCF routing to O-CSCF. The decision procedure in I-CSCF is simple: because the new identity (after the number portability procedure) is not identity of this network, the session/transaction has to be routed out of this network to the target network. Thus routing to an O-CSCF is an evident choice. No S-CSCF can be naturally chosen, because the new identity is not linked to any identity that could be registered in this network.

The same solution can be applied also to cases where number portability procedure is done in the terminating network and the session/transaction is received from another IMS network.

In Figures 5a and 5b, porting to the IMS domain is illustrated.

The MGCF 500 receives a message from CS that is a call set up message in step G1. The MGCF 500 converts the message to a SIP message to for example and initial INVITE message.

In step G2, the MGCF 500 sends the message to the I-CSCF 502 in the same network which receives that message.

In step G3, the I-CSCF 502 makes a query to a number portability database such as SLF 506 with the target number of the initial INVITE message.

In step G4, the SLF 504 returns the new identity.

10 In step G5, the I-CSCF 502 returns e.g. the "301 moved permanently" response to the MGCF 500.

In step G6, the MGCF reroutes the message to the O-CSCF 504.

15 In step G7, the O-CSCF 504 makes an ENUM translation of the new identity if it is or contains a number, for example E.164. This translation involves an ENUM entity 508.

In step G8, the O-CSCF 504 routes the message to a new I-CSCF 510
20 in another IMS network.

Reference will now be made to Figure 5b, which shows a modification to the arrangement of Figure 5a. Those elements which are the same as shown in Figure 5a are marked with the same
25 reference numbers.

Steps H1 to H4 correspond to steps G1 to G4 of Figure 5a.

In step H5, the I-CSCF 502 routes the message to the O-CSCF 504.
30

Steps H6 and H7 correspond to steps G7 and G8 respectively.

Reference is now made to Figures 5c and 5d which illustrate porting to a CS domain.

Steps J1 to J7 correspond to steps G1 to G7 respectively.

In step J8, because the O-CSCF 504 is not able to get a routable SIP URI, the O-CSCF 504 routes the message to a first BGCF 514.

The next step is then J9a or J9b which constitute normal routing steps. In particular, routing is either to a second BGCF 516 in step J9b or to a second MGCF 512 in step J9a.

Figure 5d shows a modification to the arrangement of Figure 5c.

Steps K1 to K6 are the same as steps H1 to H6 respectively of Figure 5b and steps K7, K8a and K8b correspond to steps J8, J9a and J9b respectively.

In embodiments of the invention, the SLF/HSS may be queried by the I-CSCF during a registration or session set-up to get the name of the HSS containing the required subscriber specific data or get the name of the an adaptation function such as an application server, gateway or the like for further routing. The notation SLF/HSS means SLF, or HSS if SLF does not exist.

The adaptation functionality is arranged to communicate with the CSCF and performs protocol conversion between appropriate protocols and the IM subsystem control protocols if required. The adaptation functionality is arranged to act as a gateway or server where requests with non-SIP schemes may be routed. The SLF/HSS may be arranged to handle the schemes and return a SIP routable address as the name of the adaptation functionality.

In embodiments of the present invention, the I-CSCF can send a query e.g. DX_SLF_QUERY or alike to the SLF/HSS and includes as a parameter the URI which is stated in the INVITE request. The SLF/HSS looks up in its database for the queried URI. The SLF answers with the HSS name in which the user's subscription data

can be found or alternatively the SLF/HSS may answer with the name of the adaptation functionality where the request shall be routed.

The unknown status of a requested party can be determined in the SLF/HSS. The I-CSCF requests information on the user to be reached, identified by the URI included in the INVITE request and the SLF/HSS responds back to the I-CSCF with an indication that the user is unknown if it can not find the queried URI. The I-CSCF uses the indication that the user is unknown returned from the SLF/HSS to formulate the correct SIP message back towards the originating party to indicate that the user is unknown.

Communications between the CSCF and adaptation functionality may be in accordance with the SIP protocol. A single session control protocol may be applied to the interface between the CSCF and the adaptation functionality. This may be the SIP protocol or another protocol.

In embodiments of the invention, the routing of SIP signalling within the IMS may use URIs. The CSCFs and adaptation functionality may be identifiable using a valid SIP URL (host domain name or network address) on those interfaces supporting the SIP protocol. These SIP URLs may be used when identifying these nodes in header fields of messages.

Optionally SLF/HSS may return GW/S/AS address with a new identity. Thus SLF/HSS can be used as a portability network entity or device that returns a new identity with routing address.

A service URI is in the first place connected to a service. In the second place it may also be connected to a user (which has caused its creation) for example because of charging. A service URI maybe used as an identity of the originator when originating a

session/transaction on behalf of a service. A user may create a group identity:

Type I (subscriber initiated group session or transaction)

In this type of group session and transaction normally everybody pays his own session to group session or transaction. The procedure is that the user reserves a group identity from the group server. The user sends the group identity to members of the group and then the members initiate the session or transaction to the group identity.

Type II (group server initiated group session or transaction)

In this type of group session and transaction normally the originator pays all sessions to group session or transaction. The procedure is that the user reserves a group identity from the group server. The user sends a list of members to the group server. The group server initiates the sessions or transactions to the group members.

This will now be described in more detail with reference to Figure 6. Figures 6a and 6b describe known routing arrangements where the group server is an application server. These figures are included to aid the explanation of embodiments of the present invention. Figures 6c and 6d illustrate embodiments of the present invention. In Figure 6c, the routing from the I-CSCF to the group server is as in Figure 2a. The routing from the group server to the I-CSCF or to the O-CSCF is like the routing in Figure 3b.

Reference is made to figure 6a which shows a Type I case i.e. the subscriber initiated group session or transaction. The originator 600 sends in step 1 a message to the P-CSCF 602 which in step 2 contacts a corresponding S-CSCF 604. The S-CSCF contacts in step 3 the group server 606. In step 4 the group server contacts the subscription database 608 which can take any suitable form and may for example be an SLF, an HSS or a DRR (Dynamic Resource Register) or a database capable to store dynamic identities or alike. This effectively allows the originator 600 to reserve a group identity.

The group identity is stored or activated in the subscriber database 608 by the group server 606 in step 4 and 5. The group server returns the group identity to the originator 600 via the S-CSCF 604 and the P-CSCF 602 in steps 6, 7 and 8 respectively. The
 5 originator 600 connects to the network which contains to the group server 606.

The originator 600 then sends the group identity to the other members of the group, that is entity B, referenced 618 and entity
 10 C referenced 624. This is not shown. The originator 600 and entity B 618 both connect to the network which contains the group server 606. Entity C 624 connects to a different network to that containing the group server 606.

15 The members of the group, that is entity B 618 and entity C 624 initiate a session on the basis of the group identity. In step
~~21 each of the members 618 and 624 contact a P-CSCF 616 and 622~~
 respectively. The respective P-CSCF contacts in step 22 a respective S-CSCF 614 and 620. The respective S-CSCF contacts in
 20 step 23 a common I-CSCF 612. It should be appreciated that the P-CSCF and S-CSCF associated with entity B are in the same network as the group server while the P-CSCF and S-CSCF associated with entity C are in a different network to the group server.

25 The I-CSCF 612 interrogates the subscriber database 608 to obtain the relevant S-CSCF, this taking place in steps 24 and 25. The I-CSCF 612 then contacts the identified S-CSCF 610 in step 26. That S-CSCF then contacts the group server 606. In this way, the session is initiated.

30

Reference is now made to Figure 6b which shows a type II case i.e. the group server initiated group session or transaction and the group server is an application server. The elements which are the same as shown in figure 6a are marked by the same reference
 35 numerals. The originator 600 reserves a group identity in steps 1

to 8, these steps being the same or similar to those described in relation to Figure 6a. The originator then sends a list of members to the group server. This is not shown. The group server then initiates sessions to the members in steps 21 to 29 which will now be described. Again in this example the members are entity B 618 and entity C 624 with entity B being connected to the same network which contains the group server and entity C being connected to a different network.

Firstly, the group server 600 contacts in step 21 to a S-CSCF 610. The S-CSCF 610 contacts in step 22 to the subscriber database 608 to get the needed subscriber information e.g. the originating filter criteria associated to the group identity. This information is returned in step 23 to the S-CSCF 610. The S-CSCF 610 contacts the appropriate I-CSCF 612 and 626. The I-CSCF 612 for the entity B user interrogates the subscriber database in step 25 and receives information on the S-CSCF 614 to be used for the group member B, in step 26. Likewise the I-CSCF 626 for entity C 624, contacts a HSS 628 in step 25 which provided information in step 26 on the S-CSCF to be used.

The I-CSCFs 612 and 626 then contact the respective S-CSCF 614 and S-CSCF 620 for the respective members B and C 618 and 624. This happens in step 27. In step 28, the respective S-CSCFs 614 and 620 contact respective P-CSCFs 616 and 622 associated with the respective members B and C 618 and 624. The respective P-CSCFs 616 and 622 then contact in step 29 the members B and C 618 and 624 respectively. In this way, the group server is able to initiate a session.

Reference is now made to figure 6c which shows a type I case i.e. the subscriber initiated group session or transaction where the group server is not an application server. Instead, the group server may be a server. Again, those elements which are the same as shown in figure 6a are marked with the same reference numbers. In

this arrangement, the group server is referenced by 606'. Instead of a subscriber database, there is a routing database 608'. The difference between 608 and 608' is the same as between 102 and 104 of figure 1 (normal subscriber DB) and 102 and 110 of figure 2a.

5 The routing database can be provided by an SLF and/or HSS and/or DRR.

In steps 1 to 8, the originator 600 reserves a group identity. These steps are the same or similar to those described in relation to figures 6a and 6b. However, it should be appreciated that steps 4 and 5 may be omitted in embodiments of the present invention. In this case the group server 606' may have the necessary group identity and not need to look it up from the routing database or store it into the routing database.

15

The originator 600 then sends the group identity to the members of the group. ~~Again, this is not shown. Next, the members of the~~ group 618 and 624 initiate a session to the group identity in steps 21 to 26. Steps 21 to 25 are as described in figure 6a except routing database 608' is used instead of subscriber database 608. In practice there may be little difference between a routing database and a subscriber database. However, a connection is made directly from the I-CSCF 612 to the group server 606' in step 26. This may be as discussed in relation to

20

25 previous embodiments.

Reference is made to figure 6d which shows an example where the group server is not an application server and it is a type II case, i.e. the group server initiated group session or transaction. Again, those elements which are the same as in figure 6a, b, and c are referred to with the same reference numbers.

30

The originator 600 reserves a group identity in steps 1 to 8. Again, as with figure 6c, steps 4 and 5 may be omitted. The

originator then sends a list of members to the group server 606'. These steps are not shown for clarity.

The group server then initiates sessions with the members. Steps 21 to 26 are an example where it is carried out with the identity of a member in the group and the identity is SIP URI. This allows members attached to the same network as the group server to be contacted to establish a session. This is illustrated by steps 21 to 26. In this, the group server 606' connects directly to the I-CSCF 612, and not via an S-CSCF. This is as discussed in relation to earlier embodiments. The I-CSCF 612 interrogates the routing database 608 in step 22 to receive routing information from the database in step 23. That routing information may be the S-CSCF to which the message from the I-CSCF 612 is to be routed. Based on that routing information, the I-CSCF 612 contacts the S-CSCF 614 associated with the user 618. This occurs in step 24. In step 25 the S-CSCF 614 contacts the P-CSCF 616 associated with the entity B 618. In step 26, the P-CSCF 616 contacts the entity B 618. In this way, a session is initiated.

The group server may alternatively or additionally initiate sessions to the member with a TEL URL of the own network. This allows members attached to the same network as the group server to be contacted to establish a session. In this step, the group server 606' contacts in step 31 an O-CSCF 630. In step 32, the O-CSCF 630 looks up the ENUM from a database 632. This occurs in step 32 with the reply being sent to the O-CSCF in step 33. In step 34, the O-CSCF 630 contacts the I-CSCF 612. Steps 22 to 26 already described are then carried out. In this way, the session can be established.

The group server can initiate a session alternatively or additionally with a foreign TEL URL, that is with a TEL URL of a different network. This allows members attached to a different network as the group server to be contacted to establish a

session. In this, steps 31 to 34, already described are carried out. However this case, step 34 would allow the O-CSCF 630 to contact the I-CSCF 626 associated with the member C 624. That I-CSCF 626 and member C are part of and connected to respectively a different network to that containing the group server. In step 35 the I-CSCF obtains routing information for the subscriber 624 from the HSS 628. The information is returned in step 36. This information may identify the S-CSCF 620 to be used. The I-CSCF 626 then contacts the identified S-CSCF 620 in step 37. In step 38 the S-CSCF 620 contacts the respective P-CSCF 622. The P-CSCF 622 contacts member C in step 39. In this way, a session is established.

Alternatively or additionally, the group server can initiate a session with a member using a foreign SIP URI. In other words, the SIP URI of a different network is used. This allows members ~~attached to a different network to the group server to be~~ contacted to establish a session. This involves step 31 and then steps 34 to 39 already described. In other words, steps 32 and 33 are omitted.

In embodiments of the present invention the group server is not an application server so an ISC interface may not be used. In known arrangements, an ISC interface is bound with a S-CSCF and AS, that is there is an ISC interface between these entities. To route to an AS involves going via an ISC, that is S-CSCF to ISC to AS and vice versa. A filter criteria is used at an S-CSCF to select and AS. In this embodiment, the aim of the group server is to avoid the restriction that the routing to an AS must always go from the S-CSCF via the ISC interface.

The point of the group server arrangement described in relation to figure 6c and d and Figures 7a and b, is:

a) Routing to group server is allowed from S-CSCF optionally with

ISC and also via other interfaces in addition to the optional ISC (e.g. via normal SIP).

b) Routing to group server is allowed also from other elements in addition to the optional routing from S-CSCF in terminating cases.

c) Routing from group server is allowed also to other elements in addition to the optional routing to S-CSCF in originating cases.

The group server is seen as an entry point to another network (which can be regarded as the network offering group sessions).

The group server can be regarded as being an I-CSCF of another network. It is possible in some embodiments of the present invention, that a group server can consist of application server and non application server parts. Reserving group entity is routed as to an application server whilst routing to the group identity is as routing to a server. Both routings of figure 1 and 2a are valid to the same server e.g. application server. This has the advantage that routing becomes simple. No S-CSCF involvement is required in cases where the group server is originator of the session. No. HSS involvement is necessary. The SLF can offer addresses to the group server in the terminating case. SLF may contain wildcard entries that are associated to the routing to a certain group server or servers. The group server(s) give(s) out i.e. deliver(s) only group addresses that match one of the wildcard entries in the SLF. This way group identities need no to be stored as dynamic identities to subscriber database (e.g. HSS, DRR or alike). As an example *.john.doe@operator.net may be a wildcard entry in SLF (or in HSS if there is no SLF). When John Doe want to reserve a group identity, the group server gives to John Doe only group identities containing his own identity e.g. fishing-friends.john.doe@operator.net and family.john.doe@operator.net.

Reference is now made to figure 7a which shows a server 606" offering subscriber independent services. Figure 7a shows the originating case where routing is from the subscriber independent server. Those elements which were the same as shown in figure 6 are referred to as the same reference number. The same step number is used for those steps which correspond to those shown in figure 6.

In the case where the users own SIP URI is used, steps 31, 34 and 22 to 26 already described in relation to Figure 6 are carried out in that order.. In this case, instead of the group server or application server shown in figure 6, there is a subscriber independent server 606". As in figures 6a and b, there is a subscriber database 608. In step 34, the O-CSCF contacts the I-CSCF 612 in the same network as contains the server.

In some embodiments of the present invention this can be optimised and steps 21 to 26 can be carried out when the own SIP URI is used, leaving out steps 31 and 34.

If the network's own TEL URL is used, then steps 31 to 34 and steps 22 to 26 are carried out in that order. In step 34, the O-CSCF contacts the I-CSCF 612 in the same network as contains the server.

If the ENUM translation fails, routing can still take place with the TEL URL. In this case, steps 31 to 34 and 41 to 42 are used. In step 34, a BGCF 650 is contacted by the O-CSCF 630. The BGCF 650 contacts an MGCF 652 in step 41 which in turn connects in step 42 to the circuit switched domain 654.

Routing can be done with a TEL URL of a foreign or different network. This involves steps 31 to 33 and 34 to 39 as described previously. In steps 32 ENUM query is used to get information in step 33 to resolve the TEL URL into SIP URI to be used for

routing. In step 34, the O-CSCF connects to the I-CSCF 626 of the different network to that containing the server 606".

If routing is carried out with a SIP URI from a different network, then steps 31 and 34 to 39 are carried out, in that order. In step 34, the O-CSCF connects to the I-CSCF 626 of the different network to that containing the server 606".

It should be appreciated that in some embodiments, one or more of these routing methods can be carried out. For example, routing to different users can be carried out on this basis.

Reference is now made to figure 7b which shows the terminating case. Again, those elements which are the same as shown in figure 6 and 7a are referred to by the same reference number.

Where routing to the subscriber independent server 606" is from the same network as the server, the following steps are carried out in this order. In step 121, the user 618 contacts its associated P-CSCF 616 which in turn contacts the appropriate S-CSCF 614 in step 122. In step 123, the S-CSCF 614 contacts the I-CSCF 612. In step 124, the I-CSCF 612 contacts the routing database which provides routing information in step 125 to the I-CSCF 612 identifying the server 606". In step 126, the I-CSCF contacts the subscriber independent server 606".

In the terminating case where the user is in a different network to the subscriber independent server 606", the following steps are carried out: the user 624 sends contacts the P-CSCF 622 in step 131. The P-CSCF 622 contacts the associated S-CSCF 620 in step 132. These elements are outside the network containing the server 606".

In step 133, the I-CSCF 612, in the same network as the server 606" is contacted by the S-CSCF 620. Steps 124 to 126 are then performed, as already described.

- 5 Where the user is in a circuit switched domain 654, the circuit switched domain 654 contacts the MGCF 652 in step 141. In step 142, the MGCF 652 contacts the I-CSCF 612. Steps 124 and 126 are then carried out as already described.
- 10 If a server handles all needed identities in its own database or databases, it is not dependent on the HSS or any other subscriber database. For this reason, it can be referred to as a subscriber independent server. In preferred embodiments of the present invention, the subscriber independent server may not be an application server so an ISC interface may not be used. It can be regarded as being similar to an entry point to another network and
~~can be looked on by the network of which it is a part as if it~~
were a I-CSCF of another network. Subscriber independent server may be located physically also outside the network. All the
20 required data concerning the subscribers is located in the server itself or in its own database.

Embodiments of the present invention have the advantage that routing becomes simple. No S-CSCF involvement is required in the
25 cases where the group server is the originator of a session or a transaction. No HSS involvement is necessary. For example, the SLF can offer an address to the server in the terminating case. All data concerning the subscribers can be in the database of the subscriber independent server or in a database or databases
30 connected to the server. The Sh i.e. the interface between HSS and AS is not used. Sh interface may be used to get the S-CSCF address from the HSS in the case when an AS originates a session or transaction. If no S-CSCF is involved in the routing there is no need to ask HSS the S-CSCF address and thus no Sh interface is
35 necessary. Embodiments of the present invention have the

advantage that third party operators can easily offer originating and terminating services and there is no need to insert anything into the HSS. The only change which may be required is to insert a domain name address pointing to the server in the SLF. For example news.3-party-operators.operator.net may be inserted to the SLF and connected to the routing to a subscriber independent server located e.g. in the address "news-host.newscompany.3-party-operators.operator.net" i.e. in the subdomain 3-party-operators.operator.net. As well the domain name may be completely different from the operator's own domain name. For example the entry news.company.com may be inserted to the SLF and associated to the routing to a subscriber independent server e.g. a news server of the company.com. In this way a third party operator may be able to offer services to IMS subscribers without having to have its own IMS network. Thus embodiments of the invention, all needed data relating to the subscribers may be located in the server itself or in its own database or databases or in databases operated by the same operator as the operator of the server. This makes it possible for the third party to offer services from its own server and to utilise the main (that is a different) operator's IMS or similar network for routing. Subscribers of the subscriber independent server may or may not also be IMS subscribers. The third party operator is able to run its server independently of the main operator.

Embodiments of the present invention have been described in relation to application servers. However it should be appreciated that embodiments of the invention can also be used with gateways or any other entity especially with an entity having the same or similar relationship as the application servers to other entities illustrated in the Figures and/or as described.

It should be appreciated that a number of different features have been described and that is possible that some embodiments of the invention can combine different ones of these features.

It should be appreciated that in embodiments of the present invention, IMS is access independent. This means that any suitable access method such as WLAN (wireless local area network) or the like can be used. IMS and PRES schemes offer a way to specify services without specifying the protocol to be used to get services. These protocol independent schemes provide a way to identify services.

CLAIMS

1. A method of routing for a message via an IMS system comprising the steps of:

receiving a message at an I-CSCF;

obtaining address information for a network function for which said message is intended; and

sending said message to said network function in accordance with said address information.

2. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said message is sent directly to the network function via a proxy or gateway element.

3. A method as claimed in claim 1 or 2, wherein said obtaining step comprises querying a database.

4. A method as claimed in claim 3, wherein said database comprises a SLF.

5. A method as claimed in claim 3 or 4, wherein said database provides said address information for said network function.

6. A method as claimed in claim 3, 4 or 5, wherein said database provides information identifying a further database.

7. A method as claimed in claim 6, wherein said further database comprises one of a HSS; UMS or SSR.

8. A method as claimed in claim 6 or 7, wherein said further database contains said address information.

9. A method as claimed in claim 6, 7 or 8, wherein said further database contains configuration information of said network function.

10. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, comprising the step of determining if said message is for an IMS target or a non-IMS target.

11. A method as claimed in claim 10, wherein said steps of claim 1 are followed if it is determined that said message is for a non-IMS target.

12. A method of routing a message from a network function via an IMS system comprising the steps of:

originating a message from an network function;

determining the address of a proxy entity to which said message is to be sent;

routing said message to said proxy entity; and

routing said message from said proxy entity to an entry point of a target network.

13. A method as claimed in claim 12, wherein said entry point is in the same network as said AS.

14. A method as claimed in claim 12, wherein said entry point is in a different network to said AS.

15. A method as claimed in claim 12, 13 or 14, wherein said originating step comprises originating one of a session and a transaction.

16. A method as claimed in any of claims 12 to 15, wherein said determining step comprises the step of querying one of a database, table, file and a list.

17. A method as claimed in any of claims 12 to 16, wherein said determining step comprises determining the proxy entity from information contained in said function.

18. A method as claimed in any of claims 12 to 17, comprising the step of determining the entry point to which said message is to be routed.

19. A method as claimed in any of claims 12 to 18, wherein said proxy entity is arranged to determine the target entry point to which said message is to be sent.

20. A method as claimed in claim 19, wherein said proxy entity is arranged to determine the target entry point to which said message is to be sent by accessing a database.

21. A method as claimed in claim 20, wherein said database comprises a DNS.

22. A method of routing a message from a network function via an IMS system comprising the steps of:

- originating a message from a network function;
- determining the I-CSCF to which said message is to be sent;
- routing said message directly to said I-CSCF if said I-CSCF is in a same network as said network function.

23. A method of routing a message from a network function via an IMS system comprising the steps of:

- originating a message from a network function;
- determining the I-CSCF to which said message is to be sent;
- routing said message directly to said I-CSCF if said I-CSCF is in a trusted network.

24. A method of routing a message from a network function via an IMS system, said method comprising the steps of:

- sending a request from the network function to an I-CSCF;
- determining at the I-CSCF the S-CSCF to which a message from said network function is to be sent; and
- sending said message to the determined S-CSCF.

25. A method as claimed in claim 24, wherein said network function comprises a PLS.

26. A method as claimed in claim 24 or 25, wherein said determining step comprises querying a database.

27. A method as claimed in claim 24, 25 or 26, wherein said determining step comprises querying a HSS.

28. A method of routing a message from a first network function via an IMS system, said method comprising the steps of:

- 5 sending a request from the first network function to an I-CSCF;
determining at the I-CSCF a second network function to which a
message from said first network function is to be sent; and
sending said message directly from the I-CSCF to said second
network function.

29. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein said network
10 function comprises a network entity.

30. A method as claimed in claim, wherein said network function
comprises one of application server, server and gateway.

15 31. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein said network
function provides an adaptation functionality.

A method of routing a message comprising the steps of:

- 20 receiving a message in accordance with a first protocol;
converting said message to a second protocol;
querying a database using identification information in said
message to obtain new identification information; and
using said new identification information to route the message to a
proxy.

25 30. A method as claimed in claim 29, wherein said proxy is arranged to
route said message.

31. A method as claimed in claim 29, wherein said proxy is arranged to
30 obtain a translation of said identity.

32. A method as claimed in claim 29, wherein said proxy routes the
message to another network.

35 33. A method as claimed in claim 32, wherein the proxy routes the
message to an I-CSCF.

34. A method as claimed in claim 29, wherein an I-CSCF is arranged to query said database.

35. A method as claimed in claim 34, wherein said I-CSCF is arranged to route said message to said proxy.

36. A method as claimed in claim 35, wherein an entity receiving said message is arranged to route said message to said proxy.

37. A method as claimed in claim 29, wherein said second protocol is SIP.

38. A method as claimed in claim, wherein said proxy is arranged to route said message to a gateway.

39. A method of routing for a message via an IMS system comprising the steps of:

- sending a message to an I-CSCF from a network function based on address information obtained by said network function;

- obtaining address information at said I-CSCF for said message; and

- sending said message from said I-CSCF in accordance with said address information.

40. A method as claimed in claim 1 or any claim appended thereto, wherein said network function comprises a server, said server being arranged to send a message for at least one user via a S-CSCF and to send a message for a least one user via an I-CSCF.

41. A server arrangement for providing a service via a network to at least one entity, said server comprising:

- a server for offering services to at least one subscriber via said network; and

- a database storing information about said at least one subscriber.

42. A server arrangement as claimed in claim 41, wherein said database is part of said server.

43. An arrangement as claimed in claim 41, wherein said database is separate from said server.

5 44. A server arrangement as claimed in claim 41, 42 or 43, wherein in use, said server is operated independently of said network

10 45. A server arrangement as claimed in any of claims 41 to 43, wherein said network is operated by a network provider and said server is operated by a service provider, said network provider and said service provider being different.

46. A server arrangement as claimed in claim 41, wherein said server and said database are operated by a common service provider.

15 47. A server arrangement as claimed in any of claims 41 to 46, wherein said network is used for routing between said server and at least one subscriber.

20 48. A method of providing a service to a subscriber from a server via a network, said method comprising the steps of:

Providing service information for a subscriber, said service information being provided by a server arrangement, said server arrangement comprising a server and at least one database containing subscriber information; and

25 Routing said service information via a network.

49. A method as claimed in claim 48, wherein at least one database is part of said server.

30 50. A method as claimed in claim 48 or 49, wherein said server arrangement is operated by a service provider, different to an operator providing said network.

35 51. A method as claimed in claim 48, 49 or 50, wherein said network is an IMS network.

52. A method as claimed in any of claims 48 to 51, wherein said at least one subscriber is an IMS subscriber.

ABSTRACT

ROUTING MESSAGES

This invention relates to a method of routing for a message via an IMS system is disclosed. A message is received at an I-CSCF. Address information is obtained for one of an application server, server or gateway for which said message is intended. The message is sent to said application server, server or gateway in accordance with said address information.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2a




Fig. 2b

3/18/4




Fig. 2c




Fig. 2d




Fig. 3a




Fig. 3b

6/14




FIGURE 4




Fig. 5a




Fig. 5b




Fig. 5c





Fig. 5d






Figure 6b




Figure 6c.






Figure 7a



13/13
14/14

Figure 7b



**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☒ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.